### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Plaintiff, : Civil Action: 04-10031 (RWZ)
-against - : NOTICE OF APPEAL

BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION,

Defendant.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that plaintiff Ruben Carnero hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit from (i) the final Judgment dismissing the complaint in this lawsuit entered by the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (the "District Court") on August 30, 2004, a copy of which is annexed hereto as Attachment 1 (the "Judgment"); (ii) Memorandum of Decision entered by the District Court on August 27, 2004 granting defendant's motion to dismiss and directing the clerk to enter the Judgment, a copy of which is annexed hereto as Attachment 2; (iii) all earlier interlocutory orders and judgments entered by the District Court, a copy of the PACER docket report for the case is annexed hereto as Attachment 3.

This appeal is taken from each and every part of the judgment, decisions and those orders.

Dated:

September 20, 2004

LUSHAN, McCARTHY & GOONAN Counsel to plaintiff Ruben Carnero

Bv:

lichael Lushan, Esq.

496 Harvard Street

Brookline, Massachusetts 02446

(617) 739-0700

Of counsel:

BOLATTI & GRIFFITH 32 Old Slip, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 363-3780 (212) 363-3790 (fax)

## **Attachment 1**

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

**RUBEN CARNERO** 

**Plaintiff** 

٧.

**CIVIL ACTION** 

NO. <u>04-10031-RWZ</u>

BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP.

Defendant

#### **JUDGMENT**

ZOBEL, D. J.

In accordance with the Memorandum of Decision entered 8/27/04;

JUDGMENT is entered for DEFENDANT.

By the Court,

8/30/04 Date

s/ Lisa A. Urso
Deputy Clerk

## **Attachment 2**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-10031-RWZ

**RUBEN CARNERO** 

٧.

BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP.

### MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

August 27, 2004

ZOBEL, D.J.,

Plaintiff Ruben Carnero is an Argentinean citizen who worked for the Argentinean and Brazilian subsidiaries of defendant Boston Scientific Corporation, until he was terminated in 2002 allegedly after he reported accounting irregularities.

Consequently, plaintiff initiated three actions: (1) a conciliation proceeding in Argentina seeking statutory severance; (2) an eight count Complaint against defendant, which this Court dismissed for lack of jurisdiction; and (3) an administrative complaint against defendant with the Department of Labor. The administrative complaint alleges retaliatory termination and other discrimination by defendant's Argentinean and Brazilian subsidiaries in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1514A, which was enacted as part of the Corporate and Criminal Fraud Accountability Act of 2002, and incorporated as Title VIII of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. On December 19, 2003, the Secretary of Labor, acting through her agent, the Regional Administrator for the Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA"), preliminarily determined that OSHA has no

jurisdiction to consider the merits of plaintiff's claim because nothing in the language of Section 1514A indicates any intention by Congress to cover employees working outside of the United States. (Pl.'s Decl. in Opp'n to Mot. to Dismiss, Tab 30). On January 7, 2004, plaintiff filed a Complaint in this Court seeking a de novo review of his administrative complaint and relief in the form of: reinstatement to his job, back pay, damages for loss of reputation, lost future wages, emotional distress and other economic injury. Thereafter, on January 22, 2004, the Secretary issued a final decision dismissing the administrative complaint because of the pendency of the complaint in this Court. (Pl.'s Decl. in Opp'n to Mot. to Dismiss, Tab 31). Defendant Boston Scientific Corporation now moves to dismiss, or in the alternative, for summary judgment or stay.

Defendant asserts that Section 1514A does not apply to plaintiff, a foreign national who worked exclusively overseas. It is well established that Congressional legislation is meant to apply within the United States, absent any evidence of contrary intent. Smith v. United States, 507 U.S. 197, 204 (1993). This principle is based on a number of reasons, including "the commonsense notion that Congress generally legislates with domestic concerns in mind." Id. at n. 5. Thus, the language of the law is examined to determine whether there is "any indication of a congressional purpose to extend its coverage beyond places over which the United States has sovereignty or some measure of legislative control." Foley Bros. v. Filardo, 336 U.S. 281, 285 (1949).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because the Secretary of Labor did not issue a final decision within 180 days of the filing of the administrative complaint, plaintiff filed suit in this Court pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1514A(b)(1)(B).

The scheme of the law is also considered as well as whether any distinction is drawn between alien employees and those who are citizens of the United States. <u>Id.</u> at 286. <u>See, e.g.</u>, 29 U.S.C. § 623(f)(1)(stating that it is not unlawful for an employer to take action which is prohibited under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, where the employee is in a foreign country and compliance with the statute would violate foreign law.). The absence of such distinction suggests that the law is to be applied only within the United States. <u>Foley Bros.</u>, 336 U.S. at 286. Finally, the legislative history and the administrative interpretations of the law as it was developed may be taken into account. <u>Id.</u> at 287-288.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1514A(a) provides that no company subject to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 may retaliate against an "employee" who lawfully cooperates with an investigation concerning violations of the Act or fraud on the shareholders. Section 1514A(b), the enforcement provision, allows any "person" who alleges discharge or discrimination in violation of Section 1514A(a) to seek relief.

Nothing in Section 1514A(a) remotely suggests that Congress intended it to apply outside of the United States. No distinction is drawn between overseas employees and domestic employees. In fact, application of Section 1514A overseas may conflict with foreign laws, which is especially likely in this case where plaintiff seeks to be reinstated to his job. Notably, he has already invoked Argentinean law in support of his cause. He misunderstands the canon of construction when he asserts that neither the language nor the legislative history restricts the application of Section 1514A to domestic employees.

The protection of workers is a particularly local matter, and nothing in the legislative history supports plaintiff's assertion that the language of Section 1514A protecting an "employee" was meant to include all employees wherever they may work.

Finally, the parties do not point to any administrative interpretations of the law during its development phase. In any case, the preliminary determination by the Department of Labor coincides with this Court's reasoning.

Accordingly, defendant's motion to dismiss is allowed.

Judgment may be entered for the defendant.

	<u>/s/ Rya W</u> . Zobel	
DATE	RYA W. ZOBEL	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	

## **Attachment 3**

## **United States District Court** District of Massachusetts (Boston) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:04-cv-10031-RWZ

Carnero v. Boston Scientific Corporation

Assigned to: Judge Rya W. Zobel

Referred to: Demand: \$

Lead Docket: None Related Cases: None

Case in other court: None

Cause: JS 44 Sec. IV - no matching citation currently in

database

Date Filed: 01/08/04 Jury Demand: Plaintiff

Nature of Suit: 890 Other Statutory

Actions

Jurisdiction: Federal Question

#### **Plaintiff**

#### Ruben Carnero

## represented by Michael Lushan

Lushan, McCarthy & Goonan 496 Harvard Street Brookline, MA 02446

617-739-0700 Fax: 617-734-5375

Email: lushan@lushlaw.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

#### Defendant

#### **Boston Scientific Corporation**

## represented by James W. Nagle

Goodwin Procter, LLP Exchange Place 53 State Street Boston, MA 02109 617-570-1233

Fax: 617-227-8591

Email: jnagle@goodwinprocter.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

#### Leslie S. Blickenstaff

Goodwin Procter, LLP Exchange Place Boston, MA 02109 617-570-1944

Email:

lblickenstaff@goodwinprocter.com

## $LEAD\ ATTORNEY$ ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Filing Date	#	Docket Text	
01/07/2004	1.	COMPLAINT against Boston Scientific Corporation Filing fee: \$ 150, receipt number 52966, filed by Ruben Carnero.(Johnson, Jay (Entered: 01/08/2004)	
01/07/2004		Summons Issued as to Boston Scientific Corporation. (Johnson, Jay) (Entered: 01/08/2004)	
01/07/2004		If the trial Judge issues an Order of Reference of any matter in this case to a Magistrate Judge, the matter will be transmitted to Magistrate Judge Collings. (Johnson, Jay) (Entered: 01/08/2004)	
01/27/2004	2	MOTION to Dismiss or in the alternative, motion for summary judgment or stay and local rule 56.1 statement of undisputed material facts in support of its motion and request for oral argument by Boston Scientific Corporation.(Johnson, Jay) (Entered: 01/28/2004)	
01/27/2004	3	MEMORANDUM in Support re 2 MOTION to Dismiss filed by Boston Scientific Corporation. (Johnson, Jay) (Entered: 01/28/2004)	
01/27/2004	4	CERTIFICATE OF CONSULTATION re 2 MOTION to Dismiss by Leslie S. Blickenstaff, James W. Nagle on behalf of Boston Scientific Corporation. (Johnson, Jay) (Entered: 01/28/2004)	
02/18/2004	<u>5</u>	(Assented to) MOTION for Extension of Time to 2/24/04 to oppose defendants motion to dismiss or in the alternative for summary judgment or stay by Ruben Carnero.(Johnson, Jay) (Entered: 02/19/2004)	
02/23/2004		Judge Rya W. Zobel: Electronic ORDER entered granting <u>5</u> Motion for Extension of Time to 2/24/04 to file opposition to dismiss/summary judgment (Urso, Lisa) (Entered: 02/23/2004)	
02/25/2004	<u>6</u>	(Assented to) MOTION for Extension of Time to 3/10/04 to oppose motion for summary judgment or stay by Ruben Carnero.(Johnson, Jay) (Entered: 03/03/2004)	
03/09/2004		Judge Rya W. Zobel: Electronic ORDER entered granting 6 Motion for Extension of Time. No more extensions. (Urso, Lisa) (Entered: 03/09/2004)	
03/10/2004	7	Unopposed MOTION for Extension of Time to 3/17/04 to file	

		Motion to Dismiss (Urso, Lisa) (Entered: 08/30/2004)
08/30/2004  16 Judge Rya W. Zobel: ORDER entered JUDGMI defendant against plaintiff(Urso, Lisa) (Entered:		Judge Rya W. Zobel: ORDER entered JUDGMENT in favor of defendant against plaintiff(Urso, Lisa) (Entered: 08/30/2004)
08/30/2004		Civil Case Terminated. (Urso, Lisa) (Entered: 08/30/2004)

PACER Service Center							
Transaction Receipt							
09/16/2004 12:46:48							
PACER Login:	bg0441	Client Code:	Carnero				
Description:	Docket Report	Case Number:	1:04-cv-10031-RWZ				
Billable Pages:	2	Cost:	0.14				

### **Appeal Documents**

1:04-cv-10031-RWZ Carnero v. Boston Scientific Corporation CASE CLOSED on 08/30/2004

## **United States District Court**

#### **District of Massachusetts**

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was received from Lushan, Michael entered on 9/20/2004 at 3:45 PM EDT and filed on 9/20/2004

Case Name: Carnero v. Boston Scientific Corporation

Case Number: 1:04-cv-10031
Filer: Ruben Carnero

WARNING: CASE CLOSED on 08/30/2004

**Document Number: 17** 

#### Docket Text:

NOTICE OF APPEAL as to [15] Order on Motion to Dismiss, [16] Judgment by Ruben Carnero. NOTICE TO COUNSEL: A Transcript Report/Order Form, which can be downloaded from the First Circuit Court of Appeals web site at http://www.ca1.uscourts.gov/clerks/transcript.htm MUST be completed and submitted to the Court of Appeals. Appeal Record due by 10/11/2004. (Lushan, Michael)

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

**Document description:** Main Document

Original filename:yes

**Electronic document Stamp:** 

[STAMP dcecfStamp\_ID=1029851931 [Date=9/20/2004] [FileNumber=674125-0] [62a7f57ac7b9c937ceab9578d07dbb271c1b90539eed6406f53edda4894713800181 010e6d0fdbb958181cf3c7011dca4d1f45fcb3578a62bd81620365a8a7b6]]

## 1:04-cv-10031 Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Michael Lushan lushan@lushlaw.com

James W. Nagle jnagle@goodwinprocter.com

## 1:04-cv-10031 Notice will not be electronically mailed to:

Leslie S. Blickenstaff Goodwin Procter, LLP Exchange Place 53 State Street Boston, MA 02109